

**RFIB Group Pension Scheme
Implementation Statement
Year Ending 31 December 2024**

Glossary

ESG	Environmental, Social and Governance
Investment Adviser	First Actuarial LLP
LGIM	Legal & General Investment Management
Scheme	RFIB Group Pension Scheme
Scheme Year	1 January 2024 to 31 December 2024
SIP	Statement of Investment Principles
UNPRI	United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment

Introduction

This Implementation Statement reports on the extent to which, over the Scheme Year, the Trustee has followed their policy relating to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the Scheme’s investments. In addition, the Implementation Statement summarises the voting behaviour of the Scheme’s investment managers and includes details of the most significant votes cast and the use of the services of proxy voting advisers.

In preparing this statement, the Trustee has considered guidance from the Department for Work & Pensions which was updated on 17 June 2022, as well as the expectations set out in the General Code of Practice.

Relevant investments

The Scheme's assets are invested in pooled funds and some of those funds include an allocation to equities. Where equities are held, the investment manager has the entitlement to vote.

At the end of the Scheme Year, the Scheme invested in the following funds which included an allocation to equities:

DB Section

- LGIM World (ex UK) Equity Index Fund

DC Section

- LGIM (70:30) Global Equity Index Fund (charges included)
- LGIM UK Equity Index Fund (charges included)
- LGIM Multi-Asset Fund
- Threadneedle Multi Asset Fund
- Threadneedle Global Select Fund

The Trustee's policy relating to the exercise of rights

Summary of the policy

The Trustee's policy in relation to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the investments is set out in the SIP, and a summary is as follows:

Investment strategy and monitoring

- The Trustee did not review the investment strategy for either the DB or DC section in the Scheme Year.
- The SIP was updated for the DB and DC section during the Scheme Year but the Trustee's investment objectives for both the DB section and DC section remained unchanged.
- No new pooled funds were selected during the Scheme year.
- The Trustee continued to receive and analyse quarterly investment monitoring reports from their investment adviser.

Stewardship considerations (including the exercise of voting rights)

- The Trustee continued to monitor the position of the investment managers with regards to ESG matters.
- The Trustee has not changed its stance regarding the importance of good stewardship or on the way members' views on engagement should be reflected in the Scheme's investment strategy.
- The investment managers used by the Scheme over the Scheme Year were all signatories to the UNPRI.
- Over the course of the Scheme Year, the Scheme's assets were invested in pooled investment vehicles. Consequently, it remained the case that responsibility for exercising voting rights was mainly the responsibility of the investment managers of these pooled funds.
- For each pooled fund that includes an allocation to equities, the Trustee, in conjunction with its investment advisor, has considered how the investment manager has discharged its voting responsibilities over the course of the Scheme Year. The analysis is set out in an Annual Voting Report provided by First Actuarial.

Has the policy been followed during the Scheme Year?

The Trustee's opinion is that their policy relating to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the investments has been followed during the Scheme Year. In reaching this conclusion, the following points were taken into consideration:

- There has been no change to the Trustee's belief regarding the importance of good stewardship.
- The Scheme's invested assets remained invested in pooled funds over the period.
- The Trustee did not select any new funds during the period.
- During the Scheme Year, the Trustee considered the voting records of the investment managers over the period ending 31 December 2023.
- Since the end of the Scheme Year, an updated analysis of the voting records of the investment managers based on the period ending 31 December 2024 has been undertaken as part of the work required to prepare this Implementation Statement. A summary of the key findings from that analysis is provided below.

- The investment managers used by the Scheme are signatories to the UNPRI.

The investment managers voting record

A summary of the investment managers voting record is shown in the table below.

Investment Manager	Number of votes	Split of votes:		
		For	Against / withheld	Did not vote/ abstained
LGIM	120,000	76%	23%	1%
Columbia Threadneedle	26,000	93%	7%	0%

Notes

These voting statistics are based on each manager's full voting record over the 12 months to 31 December 2024 rather than votes related solely to the funds held by the Scheme.

Use of proxy voting advisers

Investment Manager	Who is their proxy voting adviser?	How is the proxy voting adviser used?
LGIM	ISS and IVIS	ISS and IVIS provide research and ISS administer votes. However, all voting is determined by guidelines set by LGIM.
Columbia Threadneedle	Glass Lewis, ISS and IVIS	Proxy advisors provide research but voting decisions are taken by Columbia Threadneedle

The investment managers voting behaviour

The Trustee has reviewed the voting behaviour of the investment managers by considering the following:

- broad statistics of their voting record such as the percentage of votes cast for and against the recommendations of boards of directors (i.e. "with management" or "against management");
- the votes they cast in the year to 31 December 2024 on the most contested proposals in nine categories across the UK, the US and Europe;

- the investment managers policies and statements on the subjects of stewardship, corporate governance and voting.

The Trustee has also compared the voting behaviour of the investment managers with their peers over the same period.

Further details of the approach adopted by the Trustee for assessing voting behaviour is provided in the Appendix.

The Trustee's key observations are set out below.

Voting in significant votes

Based on information provided by the Trustee's Investment Adviser, the Trustee has identified significant votes in nine separate categories. The Trustee considered votes to be more significant if they are closely contested. i.e. close to a 50:50 split for and against. A closely contested vote indicates that shareholders considered the matter to be significant enough that it should not be simply "waved through". In addition, in such a situation, the vote of an individual investment manager is likely to be more important in the context of the overall result.

The five most significant votes in each of the nine categories based on shares held by the Scheme's investment managers are listed in the Appendix. In addition, the Trustee considered each investment manager's overall voting record in significant votes (i.e. votes across all stocks not just the stocks held within the funds used by the Scheme).

Analysis of voting behaviour

The Net Zero Asset Managers initiative ("NZAM") brings together asset managers committed to the goal of achieving net-zero greenhouse gas emissions by 2050 as per the Paris Climate Agreement. In January 2025, following announcements of some managers exiting the agreement, NZAM announced a review of their overarching policies, which is ongoing.

The Trustees have considered their investment manager's approach to NZAM as part of this analysis.

Columbia Threadneedle

The Trustee noted that Columbia Threadneedle are generally supportive of shareholder proposals brought to tackle a range of ESG issues including climate change and diversity and inclusion efforts, while simultaneously demonstrating a willingness to hold directors to account on a range of issues. However, the Trustee notes, at the time of writing, the manager had yet to take a definitive position on remaining a member of NZAM.

Columbia Threadneedle now provides sufficient rationale for their voting behaviour, however the Trustee notes that on occasion the manager publishes rationale that is contrary to the way its vote was placed. This is to highlight that while a vote has been cast in line with the recommendation of a company’s directors, Columbia Threadneedle still see it necessary to raise concerns with an overarching policy.

LGIM

The Trustee notes that LGIMs voting record continues to compare very favourably with its peers. As in previous years, analysis of LGIM’s voting record identifies clear evidence that the manager is willing to vote against company directors on a broad range of issues. It is unsurprising that the manager has committed to remaining a member of NZAM, irrespective of the review’s outcome.

While LGIM have come under some criticism from the campaign group Make My Money Matter, the Trustee is satisfied that LGIM are among the most proactive on tackling climate-related proposals. Indeed, the manager has opposed several climate-related proposals based on an assessment that proposals put forward by a company’s management did not go far enough and has supported shareholder proposals designed to tackle a range of ESG issues.

Conclusion

Based on the analysis undertaken, the Trustee has no material concerns regarding the voting records of LGIM and Columbia Threadneedle.

The Trustee will keep the voting actions of the investment managers under review, noting that in some instances there are areas that could still be improved.

Signed: Date:

For and on behalf of the Trustee of the RFIB Group Pension Scheme

Significant votes

The table below records how the Scheme's investment managers voted in the most significant votes identified by the Trustee.

Company	Meeting Date	Proposal	Votes For (%)	Votes Against (%)	LGIM	Columbia Threadneedle
Audit & Reporting						
AKER BP ASA	30/04/2024	Allow the Board to Determine the Auditor's Remuneration	79	21	Against	Not Held
PETRA DIAMONDS LTD	13/11/2024	Re-appoint BDO LLP as the Auditors of the Company	80	20	For	Not Held
HAYS PLC	20/11/2024	Re-appoint PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as Auditor of the Company	80	20	For	For
OCADO GROUP PLC	29/04/2024	Allow the Board to Determine the Auditor's Remuneration	81	19	For	For
SWISS LIFE HOLDING	15/05/2024	Appoint the Auditors	80	19	Against	Against
Shareholder Capital & Rights						
TOPPS TILES PLC	18/01/2024	Issue Shares with Pre-emption Rights	63	37	For	For
METRO BANK PLC	21/05/2024	Issue Shares for Cash	32	67	For	For
FERREXPO PLC	23/05/2024	Issue Shares with Pre-emption Rights	30	70	For	For
INDIA CAPITAL GROWTH FUND	26/06/2024	Issue Shares with Pre-emption Rights	70	30	Against	Not Held
EVOKE PLC	13/05/2024	Issue Shares for Cash for the Purpose of Financing an Acquisition or Other Capital Investment	72	28	For	Not Held
Pay & Remuneration						
VIATRIS INC	06/12/2024	Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	49	50	Against	For
AXON ENTERPRISE INC	10/05/2024	Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	50	49	Against	Not Held
ALCON AG	08/05/2024	Approve the Remuneration Report	49	49	Against	Not Held
PALO ALTO NETWORKS	10/12/2024	Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	50	49	Against	Not Held
WARNER BROS DISCOVERY INC	03/06/2024	Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	53	46	Against	Against
Constitution of Company, Board & Advisers						
BUILDERS FIRSTSOURCE	04/06/2024	Elect Cleveland A. Christophe - Non-Executive Director	56	44	Against	Against
UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC	06/05/2024	Elect David I. Trujillo - Non-Executive Director	56	44	Against	Against
ALEXANDRIA R E EQUITIES INC	14/05/2024	Elect James P. Cain - Non-Executive Director	57	43	Against	Against
PETRA DIAMONDS LTD	13/11/2024	Elect Alex Watson as a Board Observer	60	40	For	Not Held
GIVAUDAN SA	21/03/2024	Re-elect Tom Knutzen - Non-Executive Director	59	40	Against	Not held
Merger, Acquisition, Sales & Finance						
HIKMA PHARMACEUTICALS PLC	25/04/2024	Approval of Buyback Waiver	56	43	Against	For
HOCHSCHILD MINING PLC	13/06/2024	Approve of the Rule 9 Waiver	63	37	Against	Against
JPMORGAN GLOBAL CORE REAL ASSETS LIMITED	03/09/2024	Approve the Continuation of the Company	34	66	For	For
FORESIGHT GROUP HOLDINGS LIMITED	02/08/2024	Approve the Waiver of Rule 9	70	30	Against	For
FORESIGHT SOLAR FUND LIMITED	12/06/2024	Approve the Discontinuation of the Company	24	75	Against	Against
Climate Related Proposals						
Shell plc	21/05/2024	Say on Climate	73	21	Against	For
REPSOL SA	09/05/2024	Advisory Vote on the Company's Energy Transition Strategy	70	21	Against	For
PENNON GROUP PLC	24/07/2024	Climate-Related Financial Disclosures	80	18	Against	For
GLENCORE PLC	29/05/2024	Approve the Company's 2024-2026 Climate Action Transition Plan dated 20 March 2024.	83	9	Against	Abstain
FERROVIAL S.A.	11/04/2024	Say on Climate	90	7	Against	Not Held
Other Company Proposals						
QUILTER PLC	23/05/2024	Approve Political Donations	73	27	For	For
BOUYGUES SA	25/04/2024	Approve the Board to Issue Equity Warrants Free of Charge During the Period of a Public Offer for the Company's Shares	73	27	Against	Not Held
ENERGEAN PLC	23/05/2024	Meeting Notification-related Proposal	78	22	For	For
MONDI PLC	03/05/2024	Meeting Notification-related Proposal	85	15	For	For
TRAVIS PERKINS PLC	22/04/2024	Meeting Notification-related Proposal	86	13	For	For
Governance & Other Shareholder Proposals						
PROLOGIS INC	09/05/2024	Simple Majority Voting	50	50	For	For
ABBVIE INC	03/05/2024	Simple Majority Voting	49	51	For	For
HUMANA INC.	18/04/2024	Introduce Majority Voting for Director Elections	51	49	For	For
CORPAY, INC	06/06/2024	Introduce an Independent Chair Rule	49	51	For	Not Held
DEXCOM INC	22/05/2024	Transparency in Lobbying	51	48	For	For
Environmental & Socially Focussed Shareholder Proposals						
AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION	22/05/2024	Disclosure of Racial and Gender Pay Gaps	49	51	For	For
NETFLIX INC	06/06/2024	Report on Netflix's Use of Artificial Intelligence	43	56	For	For
QUEST DIAGNOSTICS INCORPORATED	16/05/2024	Climate Change Targets	42	57	For	Against
CINTAS CORPORATION	29/10/2024	Political Disclosure	39	60	For	Against
GENERAL MILLS INC	24/09/2024	Report on the use of plastic	39	58	For	Not Held

Note

Where an investment manager's voting record has not been provided for each fund, reliance is placed on periodic stock holding information to identify votes relevant to the fund. This means it is possible that some of the votes listed above may relate to companies that were not held within a pooled fund at the date of the vote. Equally, it is possible that there are votes not included above which relate to companies that were held within a fund at the date of the vote.

Methodology for determining significant votes

The methodology used to identify significant votes for this statement uses an objective measure of significance: the extent to which a vote was contested - with the most Significant Votes being those which were most closely contested.

The Trustee believes that this is a good measure of significance because, firstly, a vote is likely to be contentious if it is finely balanced, and secondly, in voting on the Trustee's behalf in a finely balanced vote, an investment manager's action will have more bearing on the outcome.

If the analysis were to rely solely on identifying closely contested votes, there is a chance many votes would be on similar topics which would not help to assess an investment manager's entire voting record. Therefore, the assessment incorporates a thematic approach; splitting votes into nine separate categories and then identifying the most closely contested votes in each of those categories.

A consequence of this approach is that the number of Significant Votes is large. This is helpful for assessing a manager's voting record in detail, but it presents a challenge when summarising the Significant Votes in this statement. Therefore, for practical purposes, the table on the previous page only includes summary information on each of the Significant Votes.

The Trustee has not provided the following information which DWP's guidance suggests could be included in an Implementation Statement:

- Approximate size of the Scheme's holding in the company as at the date of the vote.
- If the vote was against management, whether this intention was communicated by the investment manager to the company ahead of the vote.
- An explanation of the rationale for the voting decision, particularly where: there was a vote against the board; there were votes against shareholder proposals; a vote was withheld; or the vote was not in line with voting policy.
- Next steps, including whether the investment manager intends to escalate stewardship efforts.

The Trustee is satisfied that the approach used ensures that the analysis covers a broad range of themes and that this increases the likelihood of identifying concerns about a manager's voting behaviour. The Trustee has concluded that this approach provides a more informative assessment of an investment manager's overall voting approach than would be achieved by analysing a smaller number of votes in greater detail.

The Trustee's primary objective remains to ensure that the assets are sufficient to pay benefits over the long term. The Trustee regularly reviews the appropriateness of the Scheme's assets to ensure that they remain consistent with this primary objective. The

Trustee's view is that over the long term environmental factors have the potential to have a material impact on the Scheme. Environmental factors are one of the themes used by the Trustee when assessing an investment manager's voting records.

Investment manager voting policies

For more information concerning an investment manager's voting policies and rationale, please visit the below links:

[Columbia Threadneedle](#) -

<https://docs.columbiathreadneedle.com/documents/Responsible%20Investment%20-%20Proxy%20voting%20policy.pdf?inline=true>

[LGIM](#) – <https://blog.LGIM.com/categories/esg-and-long-term-themes/L&Gs-voting-intentions-for-2024/>